

SITE CH	YEAR 04	ROOM # or AREA NAME Room 28	CONTEXT NUMBER SR361
TYPE (positive, negative, wall) negative	DEFINITION (cut in floor of X, fill of pit Y, north wall of room Z, etc.) cut for infant burial in S corner of m 28		
DESCRIPTION (include consistency, color, composition, inclusions, dimensions; for cuts, also include shape and orientation) irregular shallow cut into soil and possibly into bedrock in the S corner of m 28 - about 10-15cm deep, 15-25cm wide, 45cm long * seems			
MUNSELL COLOR	ELEVATIONS (if more than one, sketch locations on back)		
FORMATION PROCESSES	NATURAL	ARTIFICIAL (circle one)	CASUAL INTENTIONAL (circle one) TYPE: burial
STATE OF PRESERVATION <input type="checkbox"/> Very poor <input type="checkbox"/> Poor <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Very Good	STRATIGRAPHIC RELIABILITY <input type="checkbox"/> Very poor <input type="checkbox"/> Poor <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good <input type="checkbox"/> Very Good		
COVERS	COVERED BY		
CUTS SR353, 377	CUT BY		
FILLS	FILLED BY SR360		
ABUTS	ABUTTED BY		
EQUALS	BONDS WITH		
INTERPRETATION cut for burial of fetus or neonate; possibly made during occupation of room, but more likely that made after abandonment of house, perhaps in attempt to associate baby with church			
MATERIAL DATABLE IN FIELD? (if yes, specify)		PRELIMINARY DATE 13th - 14th E?	
FINDS (tick) <input type="checkbox"/> Pot <input type="checkbox"/> Bone <input type="checkbox"/> Glass <input type="checkbox"/> Metal <input type="checkbox"/> Wood <input type="checkbox"/> Leather <input type="checkbox"/> Tile <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> None N/A	TILE: (quantity buckets?) N/A	SPECIAL FINDS (number and description, continue on back if necessary) N/A	
FLOAT? (Y/N) N	SAMPLE? (if yes, # and type) N	SECTION? (Y/N) N	
PHOTO? (Y/N) Y	PLAN? (Y/N) Y	NOTEBOOK PAGES	SKETCH ON BACK? (Y/N) Y
STRATIGRAPHIC NOTES/DOUBTS (include any comments on methods, contamination, excavation conditions, or anything else that seems relevant) If 352 and/or 340 were excavated in this area, it is possible that the cut began higher up - but seems most likely that <del>the</del> current phasing is correct - actually,			
DATE 1/11/04		INITIALS ATR	

it's a tossup - could easily have been cut into ashly layer and not noticed at moment of excavation

closed

SPECIAL FINDS (CONTINUED)

SKETCH

