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#### Abstract

The diversification of Myotis into more than 100 species in just a few million years is one of the most extensive mammalian radiations available for study. Efforts to understand relationships within Myotis have primarily utilized mitochondrial markers, and trees inferred from nuclear markers lacked resolution. Our current understanding of relationships within Myotis is therefore biased towards a set of phylogenetic markers that may not reflect the phylogenetic history of the nuclear genome. To resolve this, we sequenced the full mitochondrial genomes of 37 representative Myotis, primarily from the New World, in conjunction with targeted sequencing of 3,648 ultraconserved elements (UCEs). We inferred the phylogeny of Myotis and explored the effects of concatenation and summary phylogenetic methods, as well as combinations of markers based on informativeness or levels of missing data, on our phylogenetic results. Of the 295 phylogenies generated from the nuclear UCE data, all are significantly different from phylogenies inferred using mitochondrial genomes. Even within the nuclear genome quartet frequencies indicate that around half of all UCE loci conflict with the estimated species tree. Several factors can drive such conflict, including incomplete lineage sorting, introgressive hybridization, or even phylogenetic error. Despite the degree of discordance between nuclear UCE loci and the mitochondrial genome and among UCE loci themselves, the most common nuclear topology is recovered in one quarter of all analyses with


strong nodal support. Based on these results, we re-examine the evolutionary history of Myotis to better understand the phenomena driving their unique nuclear, mitochondrial, and biogeographic histories.
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## Introduction

The genus Myotis (Order Chiroptera, Family Vespertilionidae) contains more than 100 species that originated during the last 10-15 million years (Stadelmann, et al. 2007), making it one of the most successful, extant, mammalian species radiations. Members of Myotis are distributed worldwide, excluding polar regions, and generally share a similar ecological niche: aerial insectivory. Myotis species often exhibit little morphological differentiation and, as a result, the rate of cryptic speciation within the genus is thought to be high. For example, specimens identified as M. nigricans and M. albescens form multiple paraphyletic lineages distributed throughout the phylogeny of Neotropical Myotis (Larsen, et al. 2012).

Confounding matters, the morphological variation that exists is often a poor indicator of specieslevel relationships. Early classifications of Myotis identified three major morphotypes (Findley 1972); each were assumed to be monophyletic and were recognized at the subgeneric level (Simmons 2005). Subsequent phylogenetic analyses of the mitochondrial cytochrome-b (cytb) gene recovered paraphyletic origins of the morphologically defined subgenera, suggesting convergent evolution in Myotis (Ruedi and Mayer 2001). These same analyses demonstrated that geography was a better predictor of phylogenetic relationship than morphology (Ruedi and Mayer 2001; Stadelmann, et al. 2007). Generally, Myotis phylogenies from mitochondrial data contain a single bifurcation at the base of the tree that splits Old World from New World species. An additional bifurcation within New World species separates Nearctic (NA) from Neotropical (NT) species. The NA/NT bifurcation is not absolute, with at least five NA species located in the Neotropics and vice versa. The Old World/New World bifurcation is stricter, with only two Old World species, M. brandtii and M. gracilis, present in the New World clade.

The ability of mitochondrial markers to resolve a well-supported topology does not guarantee that the mitochondrial tree represents the species tree (for examples see Willis, et al. 2014; Li, et al. 2016; Leavitt, et al. 2017). Despite containing 37 genes, the lack of recombination and uniparental inheritance of the mitochondrion means that it is transmitted as a single genetic unit. This makes mitochondria susceptible to evolutionary processes that may cause its history to diverge from the history of the species (Edwards and Bensch 2009). The most widely accepted phylogenies of Myotis rely heavily on mitochondrial data and even phylogenies containing nuclear data demonstrate an over reliance on mitochondrial markers for resolution. For example alignments of the nuclear RAG2 and mitochondrial cytb contained 162 and 560 variable characters respectively (Stadelmann, et al. 2007). Phylogenetic analyses of RAG2 in Myotis results in a tree primarily composed of polytomies (Stadelmann, et al. 2007). Combining these two markers increases phylogenetic resolution, but the results are heavily influenced by
larger numbers of mitochondrial characters, potentially masking signal form the nuclear marker (Stadelmann, et al. 2007; Larsen, et al. 2012; Ruedi, et al. 2013; Haynie, et al. 2016).

It is difficult to draw major conclusions from studies limited in the number of characters (Ruedi and Mayer 2001; Stadelmann, et al. 2007; Larsen, et al. 2012; Ruedi, et al. 2013; Haynie, et al. 2016) or taxa (Platt, et al. 2015). Current data seem to indicate that nuclear and mitochondrial markers recover similar topologies. Platt et al. (2015) generated a phylogeny based entirely on nuclear data using 85,028 shared transposable element insertions. Their results generally confirmed the mitochondrial phylogenies of Myotis, but only included seven taxa in their analysis. In order to fully resolve relationships and understand the Myotis radiation it is necessary to increase character and taxon sampling.

Recently, targeted sequencing methods have been developed that utilize baits to enrich and sequence ultraconserved elements (UCEs; Faircloth, et al. 2012), and this method has resolved a number of difficult phylogenetic problems (for examples see Crawford, et al. 2012; McCormack, et al. 2013; Green, et al. 2014; Faircloth, et al. 2015; McGee, et al. 2016). Generally speaking, the conserved "core" of UCE regions allows thousands of homologous loci to be enriched from divergent organismal genomes while the sequence that flanks the core UCE region contains a majority of phylogenetically informative sites allowing researchers to collect a large number of phylogenetically informative, homologous loci from throughout the genome in a cost-effective and efficient manner. Broad sampling of the nuclear genome should help to resolve a phylogeny without an over reliance on mitochondrial loci. In addition, increasing the number of nuclear loci sampled from a handful of genes to thousands can recover accurate trees despite high levels of incomplete lineage sorting (Maddison and Knowles 2006)

Here, we used targeted sequencing of UCEs to collect $\sim 1.4 \mathrm{Mbp}$ from $\geq 3,600$ nuclear loci in 37 taxa, primarily representing New World Myotis. Combinations of the UCE data were analyzed using concatenation and tree summary methods to estimate the Myotis phylogeny. Analysis of the nuclear UCE data recovered 295 trees representing 175 distinct topologies. The nuclear topologies were compared to trees generated from full mitochondrial genomes to test for conflict between the two types of makers. Our results show that, despite the range of trees recovered from the nuclear data, nuclear and mitochondrial markers always depict conflicting phylogenies. Given that the nuclear and mitochondrial trees are distinct from one another it is necessary to reinvestigate conclusions made based solely on the mitochondrial phylogeny.

## Results

We used targeted sequencing of UCEs to collect sequence data from 3,648 nuclear loci which we assembled into concatenated alignments as large as 1.37 Mb . In addition, we assembled mitochondrial genomes for most taxa. We then used the data to infer the phylogenetic history of New World Myotis in three phases: UCE and mitogenome assembly, initial phylogenetic analysis, extended phylogenetic analyses.

UCE and mitochondrial assembly and alignment - We averaged 3.29 million reads per sample after demultiplexing. These reads were assembled into an average of 5,778 contigs per sample $(\mathrm{min}=$ 1,562 M. martiniquensis, $\max =11,784 \mathrm{M}$. nigricans 3 ). Recovery of UCE loci varied across taxa. Of the 5,500 loci in the Amniote probe set, we successfully recovered 3,898 UCE loci, 3,648 loci from five or more samples, 212 loci in all 37 samples (Table 2). On average, 3,332 UCE loci were recovered per sample, ranging from 1,106 (M. martiniquensis) 4,008 (M. keaysi). Repetitive sequences, identified via RepeatMasker searches, were minimal occupying less than $0.02 \%$ of sites across all UCE alignments. Sequence coverage of the mitochondrial genomes averaged 58x (range >1x - 297x). Mitochondrial genome assemblies varied in quality. Some were almost entirely complete while others were missing sections. We found three premature stop codons in mtDNA protein coding genes. Subsequent manual alignment and validation suggested that these regions were miscalled by MitoBim, and we corrected the errors prior to analysis.

Initial phylogenetic analyses - Initial analysis of the nuclear data used loci that were present in 20 or more taxa. This resulted in an alignment of 1,144,471 bp from 2,890 nuclear loci containing 35,284 parsimony informative characters. The 2,890 loci were split into 27 partitions as recommended by PartitionFinder (Lanfear, et al. 2012). Maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses recovered the same topology and found similar support for most nodes (Figure 1A). Maximum likelihood analysis recovered $100 \%$ support for 31 of 35 bipartitions, and 33 bipartitions were present in $\geq 98 \%$ bootstrap replicates. Nodes with the least support were still present in $86 \%-88 \%$ of bootstrap replicates. After 50 bootstrap replicates the average weighted Robinson-Foulds distance between replicate sets was less than $0.23 \%$ (Pattengale, et al. 2009). Bayesian analysis recovered an identical topology, with the only difference being that all bipartitions were supported with a clade probability value of $>0.99$. Visual inspection of the parameter files in Tracer v1.6 showed good sampling with a likelihood score of $-2.419 \times 10^{-6}$ and an effective sample size (ESS) of 637 for the likelihood parameter. All other parameters had effective sample sizes greater than 500. The average standard deviation of split frequencies (ASDF) across all runs was less than 1\% after 5,000 generations.

Thirty-seven mitochondrial protein coding, rRNA and tRNA genes were concatenated into single alignment of 15,520 bp containing 5,007 informative characters. Alignments for 30 samples were $\geq 90 \%$ complete, and alignments for five samples were $68-84 \%$ complete. Only $21 \%$ and $50 \%$ of nucleotide positions were present in the $M$. albescens $^{3}$ (TK 61766) and Myotis levis alignments. Maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses of the mitochondrial data recovered similar topologies (Figure 1B), varying in the M. thysanodes, M. evotis, and M. keeni relationships. Neither method recovered significant support for these relationships. Bootstrap replicates of the maximum likelihood analysis meet the stopping criterion after the first 50 of 10,000 replicates (average weighted Robinson-Foulds value $=2.28 \%$ ). The RAxML mitochondrial phylogeny was well supported with 29 of 35 nodes present in $\geq 96 \%$ of bootstrap replicates. The remaining six nodes were present in $47 \%$ to $70 \%$ of bootstrap replicates. Bayesian analysis of the mitochondrial data reached convergence, defined as an ASDF of <1\%, after the first 424,500 of one million generations. The final ASDF, after discarding $25 \%$ of samples, was $0.49 \%$. The trace files from all four independent runs shows proper mixing of samples and the effective sample size for all parameters was greater than 200. The log likelihood score for the Bayesian mitochondrial tree was $-1.205 \times 10^{-5}$ with an ESS of 1,446 . In all, posterior probabilities were lower than the bound established as significant ( $\geq 0.95$ ) for five nodes.

Mitochondrial and nuclear analyses recovered different topologies (Figure 1). We stripped branch lengths from all trees and compared the topologies using an approximately unbiased test to determine whether differences in the tree represented conflicting signals in the marker sets. When the nuclear data is constrained to the mitochondrial tree $\left(p\right.$-value $\left.=1 \times 10^{-66}\right)$ or vice versa ( $p$-value $=2 \times 10^{-5}$ ), likelihood scores are significantly worse than expected given similar evolutionary histories. These results reject the hypothesis that the mitochondrial and nuclear UCE phylogenies reflect similar evolutionary histories. Extended phylogenetic analyses - Many factors can bias phylogenetic analyses resulting in inaccurate trees (Sanderson and Shaffer 2002). Rather than assuming our initial nuclear UCE tree was an accurate estimate of the phylogenetic relationships of Myotis, we wanted to build a range of plausible topologies from the nuclear UCE data. To do this we reanalyzed the nuclear UCE data set with minor deviations in locus sampling, partitioning, inference method, etc. In all this effort resulted in 291 unique phylogenetic analyses. Individual results or topologies are not the focus of these analyses. Rather, the goal was to recover as many, reasonable, nuclear UCE topologies as possible in an effort to account for phylogenetic uncertainty not present in the initial analysis and to compare the range of nuclear UCE trees to the mitochondrial genome tree.

We investigated the effects of matrix composition (or completeness) on our phylogenetic inference by generating 10 different alignments having levels of matrix completeness spanning 15-95\% at $10 \%$ intervals and including a final matrix of $100 \%$ completeness. Loci in these alignments were partitioned using three separate schemes: all loci were partitioned individually, loci were unpartitioned, or loci were combined into optimum partitions using PartitionFinder. The result was 10 different alignments with three partitioning schemes each. These were analyzed using Bayesian and maximum likelihood methods. Due to computational limits we abandoned the fully partitioned, Bayesian analyses. The length, number of loci, and optimum number of partitions per alignment is shown in Table 2. Bootstrap topologies stabilized in 9 of 10 alignments after 50 replicates and all Bayesian runs converged in less than ten thousand generations. In general, the same alignment produced the same topology regardless of inference method or partitioning scheme with the only exception being the terminal relationships $M$. levis/M. albescens clade in the optimum vs. unpartitioned Bayesian analysis of the $100 \%$ complete data matrix.

Trees were generated from data matrices incorporating loci of differing lengths (Hosner, et al. 2016). All 3,648 loci were ordered based on their length and split into nine bins of 365 loci and 1 bin of 363 loci, so that the first bin contained the 365 shortest loci, the second bin contained the $366^{\text {th }}$ to the $731^{\text {st }}$, and so on. The number of informative characters per bin ranged from 1,115 to 6,995 and the number of informative characters was correlated with average locus length (Supplemental Figure 2). On average, only $2.6 \%$ of characters in each bin were parsimony-informative. Each of the ten length-based alignments recovered slightly different topologies. Terminal relationships were generally stable across analyses with the majority of differences between topologies found in the early bifurcations of the ingroup (Myotis).

From the above analyses combining different matrix composition, inference method, partitioning, and locus-length variants we observed that, in general, larger alignments produced well resolved topologies with significant nodal support regardless of the phylogenetic method or partitioning scheme used. On the other hand, re-analyses of smaller portions of the data were more likely to recover unique topologies. Given that the overall goal of the extended analyses was to generate as many reasonable nuclear UCE based topologies for comparison with the mitochondrial tree, we decided to randomly sample small portions of the nuclear UCE loci to create alignments that are more likely to result in unique topologies. We randomly subsampled the 3,648 enriched loci to create 100 unique data sets. Loci were concatenated in each replicate data set and analyzed using maximum likelihood in RAxML. Of the 100 alignments analyzed, 80 unique topologies were generated (mean Robinson-Foulds distance $=4.3$ ).

In addition to concatenated analyses, three summary-based species tree programs were used on datasets of varying matrix-completeness (ASTRAL-II, ASTRID, SVDquartets). Normalized quartet scores from ASTRAL-II (Mirarab and Warnow 2015) analyses were quite consistent with scores ranging from 0.540 to 0.553 , and between $7,745,739$ ( $100 \%$ complete 212 gene trees) and 63,042,410 ( $15 \% 3648$ loci) induced quartet gene trees. SVDquartets (Chifman and Kubatko 2014) sampled all 66,045 quartets. On average, the total weight of incompatible quartets was $2.84 \%$. Similar to the concatenated analysis, we inferred coalescent-based species from the same 100 subsamples of 365 loci described above. Despite being generated from the same underlying data, summary and concatenation methods only recovered the same tree in one of 100 attempts.

Finally, we used weighted and unweighted statistical binning to combine individual gene trees into supergenes, estimate the supergene phylogeny, and then infer the species tree from the supergene trees. The 3,648 loci were combined into 528 binned loci with 480 bins containing seven loci each and 48 bins containing six loci each. Normalized quartet scores were 0.672 for the binned-unweighted and 0.673 for the binned-weighted ASTRAL-II analysis. Given the relative even distribution of loci into bins the negligible difference in quartet/species tree discordance is expected. Both binning methods recovered the same topology which was the same tree recovered in the initial nuclear UCE analyses and was the most common topology observed across all analyses.

Topology comparisons - After rejecting topological congruence between the initial nuclear UCE and mitochondrial phylogenies we used various methods to re-analyze the nuclear UCE data in an effort to identify alternative nuclear topologies. Topological congruence between the mitochondrial sequence data and nuclear topologies resulting from the extended analyses were tested to see if any were statistically congruent with the mitochondrial phylogeny of Myotis. Site-log likelihood scores for the mitochondrial alignments when constrained to all 175 unique nuclear UCE topologies were generated in RAxML and analyzed in Consel using the Shimodaira-Hasegawa (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 1999) and approximately unbiased tests (Shimodaira 2002). In each case, the mitochondrial data produced significantly worse likelihood scores, rejecting congruence between the nuclear UCE and mitochondrial phylogenies (Supplemental Table 1).

When visualizing all topologies in tree space, nuclear trees co-localized and were distinct from mitochondrial topologies (Figure 2A). Pairwise comparisons of Robinson-Foulds symmetrical differences show that $98.75 \%$ of nuclear UCE vs. nuclear UCE (Figure 2B) trees are more similar to each other than the mitochondrial trees are to even the most similar nuclear UCE tree (Figure 2C). The most frequently observed topology was recovered in 45 of the 294 nuclear analyses and was identical to the tree recovered
in the initial nuclear UCE analysis (Figure 1a). Of the 45 analyses that recovered the most frequently observed topology, 38 were Bayesian and RAxML searches that varied by matrix completeness and partitioning scheme. The fact that these analyses recovered the same topology is expected given that they are not independent. For example, a RAxML analysis of the $15 \%$ complete data set uses 1.26 Mb of the 1.38 Mb of data from the $25 \%$ complete dataset. These two alignments are $91 \%$ identical. Analyses that directly varied the alignments and/or sampled less data (e.g. randomly sampling loci) were more likely to generate unique topologies than the nested analyses described above. Of the 200 analyses that randomly sampled UCE loci 164 unique topologies were observed. This implies that when analyses of large data sets produce well-resolved trees with significant nodal support, sampling smaller portions of the data, may provide a mechanism for creating phylogenetic uncertainty not represented by typical tree scoring metrics. To account for the phylogenetic uncertainty present in our dataset, we generated a consensus tree from all nuclear topologies using an $85 \%$ threshold to resolve bipartitions (Figure 3).

## Discussion

We generated phylogenies from 3,648 UCE loci and mitochondrial genomes of 35 Myotis bats. Initial analyses of the mitochondrial and nuclear UCE phylogenies recovered distinct topologies (Figure 1). Rather than rejecting concordance between the two data types from a single analysis we took steps to reanalyze the nuclear UCE data in an effort to generate as many viable nuclear topologies as possible. We recovered 175 unique nuclear topologies using multiple methodologies, sampling strategies, and parameters. None of these nuclear topologies were similar to the topology produced from the mitochondrial data suggesting that nuclear UCE loci and the mitochondrial genomes of Myotis have distinct evolutionary histories. The conflict between the mitochondrial and nuclear data may be driven by error in phylogenetic estimation or may reflect genuine conflict between the two marker types (Degnan and Rosenberg 2009; Huang, et al. 2010). We relied on multiple tree-inference methods (e.g. summary vs. concatenation), manipulated phylogenetic parameters (e.g. partitioning strategy), and sampling criteria (e.g. loci sampled in all taxa) to minimize the impacts of phylogenetic error on the data set. In most cases, varying parameter or methodologies generated unique topologies, often due to rearrangements of a few terminal taxa. $M$. volans and $M$. brandtii were often placed as either sister to the remaining NW Myotis or as an early bifurcation between the NA and NT clades. M. vivesi was often found as sister to the clade containing M. lucifugus, occultus and fortidens or as sister to the clade containing the NT Myotis.

Around $98.3 \%$ of all nuclear tree vs. nuclear tree comparisons contain fewer than 30 symmetric differences (Figure 2b) but there are no mitochondrial vs. nuclear tree comparisons with less than 30 symmetric differences (Figure 2c). Interestingly, the most common nuclear topology most often recovered
by concatenation analyses (Figure 1A). Summary methods failed to recover the most common nuclear topology except when loci were binned together prior to gene tree estimation. Summary methods also tended to recover more unique topologies than concatenation methods when analyzing data from the same gene(s). For example, the random sample analyses recovered 80 unique topologies using concatenation (RAxML) and 89 unique topologies with summary methods (ASTRAL-II). This likely has to do with the limited number of informative characters per locus and by extension limited phylogenetic signal per gene tree (Supplemental Figure 2). In these instances, limited phylogenetic signal per gene would likely lead to increased opportunity for phylogenetic error in gene tree estimation. Further supporting this idea, binning of compatible UCE loci may have indirectly increased phylogenetic signal resulting in the same topology that many of the concatenation analyses recovered. No other summary/coalescent method recovered this topology.

Previous work with UCE loci demonstrated that support for deep divergences varied based on the number of loci examined (McCormack, et al. 2013). Further, bootstrap replicates and clade probability values can be inaccurate metrics of nodal support (Douady, et al. 2003; Hedtke, et al. 2006). Varying the input data and phylogenetic parameters can produce a range of reasonable nuclear topologies that may be more useful than overreliance on a tree resulting from a one or two analyses. Here, by considering the different topologies that result from various analyses (e.g. partitioning strategies, inference methods, etc.), we can account for phylogenetic uncertainty better than considering a single nuclear or mitochondrial topology alone.

The mitochondrial alignment constrained to any of the 175 nuclear topologies generated significantly worse likelihood scores than expected by chance (Supplemental Table 2) and a comparison of topologies in tree space shows that the mitochondrial topologies are unique from all nuclear topologies (Figure 2A). Pairwise tree distances demonstrate that all but the most divergent nuclear topologies are more similar to each other (Figure 2B) than any nuclear vs. mitochondrial comparison (Figure 2C). Despite the number of different analyses, the nuclear data never recover a topology that is similar, much less identical, to the mitochondrial topology.

Multiple studies have recovered effectively the same relationships among Myotis using mitochondrial markers to the one presented here (Ruedi and Mayer 2001; Stadelmann, et al. 2007; Roehrs, et al. 2010; Larsen, et al. 2012; Ruedi, et al. 2013; Haynie, et al. 2016). Thus, we are confident that the mitochondrial phylogeny we recovered here, and by others, reflects the true mitochondrial tree. However, the mitochondrial topology may not adequately reflect the species history, particularly when considering the factors that cause incongruence between nuclear and mitochondrial gene trees. Possible
causes of conflicting gene trees are horizontal transfer, gene duplication, introgressive hybridization, and incomplete lineage sorting. Some of these phenomena are more likely to have influenced the Myotis radiation than others.

Horizontal transfer of genes is thought to be rare in eukaryotes, but, vespertilionids in general (Thomas, et al. 2011; Platt, et al. 2014), and Myotis (Pritham and Feschotte 2007; Ray, et al. 2007; Ray, et al. 2008) in particular, have experienced horizontal transfer of DNA transposons. These events would not be reflected in our phylogeny since repetitive sequences were removed prior to phylogenetic analyses. More generally, gene duplications could create conflicting signal among individual UCE markers (ex. comparing non-orthologous UCE loci), but the number of gene duplication events would have to be very high to impact enough of the 3,648 UCE loci to confound the mitochondrial and nuclear phylogenies. Further ruling out gene duplication events as the dominant cause of conflicting phylogenetic signal is the fact that such events are likely depressed in Myotis as evidenced by their smaller genome size ( $\sim 2.2 \mathrm{~Gb}$ ) and trend towards DNA loss (Kapusta, et al. 2017) combined with low rates of paralogy in UCEs general (Derti, et al. 2006).

Introgressive hybridization and reticulation could significantly influence the phylogenies of Myotis in a way that leads to conflicting signal between the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes (Sota 2002; Good, et al. 2015). Hybridization in bats may be relatively common given their propensity to swarm at cave entrances for breeding purposes. In European Myotis, swarming has allowed for high degrees of hybridization between M. brandtii, M. mystacinus, and M. alcathoe (Bogdanowicz, et al. 2012). Further, M. evotis, thysanodes, and keeni all experienced historical gene flow during their divergence (Carstens and Dewey 2010; Morales, et al. 2016). It is also possible to explain the differences between the mitochondrial and nuclear UCE phylogenies if Myotis experienced extensive incomplete lineage sorting during their radiation. Two factors can influence the rate of lineage sorting, the fixation rate and the speciation rate (Hudson, et al. 2002). Increasing the time to fixation and/or decreasing the amount of time between cladogenic events will increase the likelihood of incomplete lineage sorting. Myotis are generally long lived species (Dzeverin 2008) and underwent a rapid radiation between 5-10 MYA (Lack, et al. 2010), suggesting that Myotis species are likely to experience higher levels of lineage sorting. The importance of these events -introgressive hybridization and incomplete lineage sorting- in driving the differences between the mitochondrial and nuclear phylogenies cannot be determined with the current data.

Evolutionary history of Myotis - Our previous understanding of relationships within Myotis is heavily biased with mitochondrial data because nuclear markers were harder to collect and produced fewer informative sites (Ruedi and Mayer 2001; Stadelmann, et al. 2007; Lack, et al. 2010; Roehrs, et al.

2010; Larsen, et al. 2012; Ruedi, et al. 2013; Haynie, et al. 2016). Our UCE-based results indicate that nuclear trees vary substantially from the mitochondrial tree. Given that the nuclear and mitochondrial trees are different, we find it necessary to re-evaluate Myotis in the context of the nuclear data.

Paraphyly of $M$. nigricans and $M$. albescens was inferred from previous mitochondrial phylogenies and confirmed in the UCE tree (Larsen, et al. 2012). Larsen et al (Larsen, et al. 2012) identified a minimum of four and potentially twelve lineages in $M$. albescens and $M$. nigricans. Our sampling included four $M$. albescens and three M. nigricans, compared to Larsen's 17 and 29 samples. Despite different mitochondrial and nuclear topologies overall, our mitochondrial and nuclear phylogeny recovered the same paraphyletic clade of three $M$. albescens samples and $M$. levis. Close relationships between these taxa was found in previous work and expected. More importantly we did not find that M. albescens was paraphyletic across much of NT Myotis. We also found that $M$. nigricans is monphlyletic in the nuclear tree, but paraphyletic in the mitochondrial tree. These results from $M$. nigricans and $M$. albescens are interesting but further inference is limited due to low sample sizes for these taxa.

The original subgeneric taxonomy of Myotis was based on three morphotypes that were later shown to be the result of convergent evolution (Ruedi and Mayer 2001). If lineage-sorting affected the mitochondrial phylogeny, it is possible that the morphotypes truly are monophyletic. However, superimposing the previous subgeneric/morphological classification onto the species tree shows interspersed distribution of morphotypes throughout even the most conservative nuclear tree (Figure 3). Many strongly supported terminal relationships link species with different morphotypes. Based on these results, it appears that the three major morphotypes in Myotis are indeed a result of convergent evolution, as suggested by previous work (Ruedi and Mayer 2001; Stadelmann, et al. 2007).

Among the more dramatic differences between the nuclear and mitochondrial topologies is the placement of $M$. volans and $M$. brandtii as sister to all New World taxa by the nuclear data. Our mitochondrial analyses place $M$. volans within a Nearctic clade and $M$. brandtii directly in-between the Nearctic and Neotropical bifurcations as has been previously reported (Stadelmann, et al. 2007). Clade probability values and bootstrap frequencies support these placements in trees from both data types. Our placement of $M$. brandtii as sister to all other New World Myotis more closely affiliates it with Old World taxa. This make sense given that the M. brandtii distribution is also Old World. On the other hand, a placement of $M$. volans sister to all New World taxa (and $M$. brandtii) in the nuclear tree is a significant departure from previous work and, at first glance, does not make as much sense in a biogeographic framework. M. volans is distributed across western and northwestern North America as far as far north
as Alaska. M. brandtii is distributed across much of Northern Europe. The key may lie in understanding a third species, M. gracilis.
M. gracilis, along with M. brandtii, are the only two Myotis geographically distributed in the Old World, but phylogenetically affiliated with the New World (Stadelmann, et al. 2007). If the sister relationship between $M$. brandtii and $M$. gracilis (not sampled here) holds when nuclear data are examined, then we can envision a scenario where M. gracilis, M. brandtii, and M. volans represent speciation events that occurred during the transition of Myotis from the Old World to the New World. It is important to remember that this interpretation relies on a fairly dramatic departure from the currently accepted mitochondrial relationships of $M$. volans (represented here by a single sample) to other Myotis species, and this hypothesis should be viewed as highly speculative. Increasing the number of Myotis lineages sampled will shed additional light on this hypothesis.

Other taxa with conflicting positions between datasets include M. lucifugus + M. occultus, M. fortidens, and M. vivesi. In general, these relationships are characterized by very short branches and are the most likely to be affected by incomplete lineage sorting or limited phylogenetic information. This could explain the strong support with the mitochondrial tree compared to the nuclear species tree, while allowing for a number of nuclear loci to disagree with the species tree, as well.

There are a number of monophyletic groups identified with nuclear data (Fig. 1A) that exhibit distinct biological characteristics. For example all of the long eared bats (septentrionalis, auriculus, evotis, thysanodes and keenii) represent a monophyletic group of higher elevation, forest-dwelling species that glean insects off of surfaces (Fitch and Shump 1979; O’Farrell and Studier 1980; Warner 1982; Manning and Jones 1989; Caceres and Barclay 2000). The group represented by fortidens, lucifugus and occultus represent a relatively long-haired form of Myotis. While having a distinct dental formula, fortidens was historically described as a subspecies of M. lucifugus (Miller Jr and Allen 1928) and occultus has alternately represented its own species or been considered a subspecies of lucifugus (Hollister 1909; Valdez, et al. 1999; Piaggio, et al. 2002). The clade consisting of keaysi, oxyotus, ruber, simus, riparius, albescens and diminutus represents a NT group of primarily woolly-haired bats (LaVal 1973). If the mitochondrial genome has been subjected to phenomena that obscure the true species tree then these species groups, along with their synapomorphic morphological features, can be reevaluated.

Conclusion - Relationships within Myotis, which until now have relied heavily on mitochondrial data, have served as the basis for species identification (Puechmaille, et al. 2012), evolutionary hypotheses (Simões, et al. 2007), and even conservation recommendations (Boyles and Storm 2007). Previous studies using nuclear data have largely been uninformative or utilized too few samples to draw
definitive conclusions. Trees estimated from ~3,650 nuclear loci and 295 different phylogenetic analyses recovered 175 topologies, none of which are congruent with the mitochondrial phylogeny of Myotis. Conflict between the mitochondrial and nuclear trees as well as among individual nuclear loci suggest that the Myotis radiation may have been accompanied by high levels of incomplete lineage sorting and possible hybridization. Rather than placing emphasis on the mitochondrial tree, it may be more appropriate to consider it for what it really is: a single gene on par with a single UCE locus, albeit one with many more phylogenetically informative characters. If true, then the mitochondrial genome is as likely to reflect the true species tree as any UCE locus chosen at random. Large amounts of lineage sorting make phylogenetic inference difficult and potentially impossible. Other phenomena such as reticulation, hybridization, and introgression have likely influenced the genomes of Myotis and should be accounted for in subsequent work. It is possible that the Myotis radiation is more accurately reflected as a hard polytomy or a phylogenetic network rather than a strictly bifurcating phylogeny.

## Materials and Methods:

Taxon Selection - Taxa were selected to span the major phylogenetic break points with emphasis on the Nearctic and Neotropical bifurcation as recovered in previous mitochondrial phylogenies (Stadelmann, et al. 2007; Ruedi, et al. 2013) (Table 1). In addition, multiple individuals morphologically identified as $M$. nigricans and $M$. albescens were included to test paraphyly as demonstrated by Larsen et al. (2012). Three Old World species of Myotis and the outgroup, E. fuscus, were included to root phylogenetic analyses. All field identifications were confirmed from voucher specimens. Information for all specimens examined is available in Table 1.

UCE preparation, sequencing, and processing - Genomic DNA was extracted from 33 samples using either a Qiagen DNEasy extraction kit or a phenol-chloroform/ethanol precipitation. DNA was fragmented using the Bioruptor UCD-300 sonication device (Diagenode, Denville, NJ, USA). Libraries were prepared using the Kapa Library Preparation Kit KRO453-v2.13 (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions with five minor modifications. First, we used half volume reactions. Second, subsequent to end repair, we added Sera-Mag Speedbeads (Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; prepared according to (Glenn, et al. 2016)) at a ratio of 2.86:1 for end repair cleanup. Third, we ligated universal iTru y-yoke adapters (Glenn, et al. 2016) onto the genomic DNA. Fourth, following adapter ligation, we performed one post-ligation cleanup followed by Dual-SPRI size selection using $55 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of speedbead buffer ( 22.5 mM PEG, 1 M NaCl ) and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Speedbeads. Finally, we performed a PCR at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 sec , then 14 cycles of $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec , then $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
for a 5 minute final extension and a $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ hold using iTru5 and iTru7 primers to produce Illumina TruSeqHT compatible libraries (Glenn, et al. 2016).

Libraries were quantified on a Qubit 2.0 (Life Technologies) and 83 ng from each library was added to create 5 pools of 6 or 7 libraries each. We then split the pools in two. One subsample was enriched for UCE loci, the other was not. UCE loci in the enriched library pools were captured using Tetrapods 5K version 1 baits from MYcroarray (Ann Arbor, MI, USA) following their MYbaits protocol v. 2.3.1 with overnight incubations (Faircloth, et al. 2012). Enriched libraries were quantified with a Qubit and pooled with other unrelated samples prior to sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 to produce paired-end reads of $\leq 151$ bases. The unenriched samples were sequenced on a separate run using a single lane of Illumina HiSeq 2500. All samples were demultiplexed with Illumina software fastq2bcl. Reads were quality filtered by removing any potential adapter sequence and trimming read ends once the average Phred quality over a four base window score dropped below 20 using the Fastx toolkit (Gordon and Hannon 2010).

Quality filtered raw sequence reads were assembled into contigs using the Trinity assembler (Grabherr, et al. 2011) and a minimum kmer coverage of 2, and we used Phyluce to identify those assembled contigs that were UCE loci. We also harvested UCE loci from Eptesicus fuscus (GCA_000308155.1), Myotis brandtii (GCA_000412655.1), M. davidii (GCA_000327345.1), and M. lucifugus (GCF_000147115.1) genome assemblies using the Phyluce package (Faircloth 2016). Once extracted from Trinity and genome assemblies, we aligned all UCE loci MAFFT (Katoh, et al. 2002), trimmed the aligned data with gBlocks (Castresana 2000). Repetitive sequences (i. e. transposable elements) in each alignment were identified with RepeatMasker and trimmed where found.

Mitochondrial genome assembly and annotation - Raw reads from the unenriched libraries were used to generate mitochondrial genomes via MitoBim (Hahn, et al. 2013) in most cases. This program used MIRA (B, et al. 1999) to map reads to a M. brandtii reference genome (Genbank accession number KT210199.1). Alternative methods of mitochondrial genome assembly were used when MitoBim assembly failed. These taxa include M. albescens (TK61766), M. albescens (TK 101723), M. albescens (RDS 7889), M. fortidens, M. keeni, M. melanorhinus, M. nigricans (QCAZ 9601), M. septentrionalis, M. simus, M. velifer, and $M$. volans. For these samples, we first identified reads that were mitochondrial in origin using BLAST searches against the $M$. brandtii mitochondrial genome (KT210199.1). Those reads were assembled using Trinity v2.2.0 with the -single option. For taxa where we either could not assemble useable mitochondrial genomes, we retrieved proxy data from GenBank as follows: M. brandtii (KT210199.1), E. fuscus (KF111725.1), M. lucifugus (KP273591.1), and M. davidii (KM233172.1).

Once assembled, each mitogenome was annotated via MITOS (Bernt, et al. 2013). Annotated genes were manually validated via BLAST to confirm sequence identity and length. Protein coding genes were checked for stop codons using EMBOSS's transeq program (Rice, et al. 2000). When a stop codon was found, we used the raw reads to verify the sequence. We used BWA v0.7.12 (Li and Durbin 2009) to align the reads to the Mitobim assembled mitogenome to verify base calls from Mitobim. The protein coding rRNA and tRNA genes from each assembly were aligned using MUSCLE and concatenated into a single alignment for phylogenetic analyses, as described below.

Initial phylogenetic analyses - Initial phylogenies derived from UCE loci and mitochondrial coding regions were generated using maximum likelihood and Bayesian methodologies. For the first round of phylogenetic analyses all UCE loci present in 20 or more taxa were concatenated into a single alignment. PartitionFinder v1.1.1 (Lanfear, et al. 2012) was used to identify and combine loci into an optimal partitioning scheme using the hcluster heuristic algorithm. We assumed a GTR $+\Gamma$ model for all loci (Darriba and Posada 2015). Initial trees were generated using RAxML v7.4.1 (Stamatakis 2006) with linked branch lengths RaxML (v8.1.3) was used to estimate and score the maximum likelihood phylogeny with the rapid bootstrapping option and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. We define strongly supported bipartitions as those present in 95-100\% of bootstrap replicates and moderately supported bipartitions are present in 85-95\% of bipartitions (Wiens, et al. 2008). A Bayesian phylogeny was generated with the MPI version of ExaBayes (v1.4.1) using two independent runs of 4 chains each. ExaBayes runs were terminated after 1 million generations only if the average standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.01 . The first $25 \%$ of samples were discarded after which every $100^{\text {th }}$ generation was sampled. The "-M 3 " option was used to reduce the memory footprint of all ExaBayes runs. Proper sampling, post burn-in was inspected via Tracer v1.6. (Rambaut, et al. 2014). Effective sample sizes greater than 200 were considered acceptable. Posterior probability values greater than $95 \%$ were considered to be significant.

The mitochondrial phylogeny was generated using methods similar to those described above with the following exceptions. All 37 coding regions, including protein coding genes, tRNA and rRNAs, were concatenated into a single alignment. Genes were partitioned individually except in the instances where two genes overlapped. These regions were partitioned separately from the individual genes resulting in three partitions for the two genes: a partition for gene $A$, a partition for gene $B$, and a partition for the overlapping nucleotides of gene $A$ and $B$. The fast bootstrapping search in RAxML was run for 10K replicates and Bayesian analyses were performed across four independent runs with four chains of ten million generations.

The mitochondrial and nuclear phylogenies were compared to each other to identify discordance between marker types. Site-log likelihood scores were calculated for the mitochondrial alignments when constrained to the nuclear tree while the nuclear alignment was scored against the mitochondrial tree using RAxML. Model parameters were then recalculated for each constrained alignment (-f G). Site-log likelihood scores were analyzed using CONSEL (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 2001) and compared using the approximately unbiased test (Shimodaira 2002). P-values less than 0.05 were used to indicate that the trees produced by mitochondrial or nuclear data differed.

Extended phylogenetic analyses - Many factors can influence phylogenetic inference. To reduce the likelihood of any single factor influencing the results, we re-examined the nuclear UCE dataset using the strategies described below. A flow chart of analyses is available in Supplemental Figure 1.

Aligned UCE loci were binned based on the number of taxa represented in the alignment (phyluce_align_get_only_loci_with_min_taxa; Faircloth 2016), or degree of completeness. Groups included loci present in $100 \%$ (number of specimens $(n)=37)$, $95 \%(n=35), 85 \%(n=31), 75 \%(n=27)$, $65 \%(n=24), 55 \%(n=20), 45 \%(n=16), 35 \%(n=12), 25 \%(n=9)$, and $15 \%(n=5)$ of specimens examined. These 10 groups were non-exclusive, so a locus that was assembled in all specimens (100\% complete) would also be included with loci present in only $55 \%$ of specimens. On the other hand, a locus found in only $55 \%$ of specimens would not be included in the $100 \%$ complete data set. Each set of UCE alignments was concatenated using phyluce_align_format_nexus_files_for_raxml and a nexus character block was created using the phyluce_align_format_nexus_files_for_raxml -charsets option. These datasets then served as the basis for downstream phylogenetic analyses. For example, when a partitioning methodology (discussed below) was tested, it was performed for each of the $100 \%, 95 \%, 85 \%$, etc. alignments. In addition to partitioning schemes, the effect of missing data was examined using Bayesian and maximum likelihood methods.

Concatenated alignments were analyzed using three different partitioning schemes. Unpartitioned alignments were simply concatenated UCE loci treated as a single genetic unit (No Partitions). Fully partitioned alignments were concatenated alignments of UCE loci that were partitioned by locus (All Partitions). Finally, PartitionFinder v1.1.1 (Lanfear, et al. 2012) was used to combine individual loci into an optimal partitioning scheme (Optimal Partitions). Rather than searching for best-fit substitution models for each UCE locus or partition, the GTR $+\Gamma$ model of sequence evolution was assigned to all loci (Darriba and Posada 2015). Initial trees for PartitionFinder were generated using RAxML v7.4.1 (Stamatakis 2006) with linked branch lengths. Partitioning schemes were heuristically searched using the hcluster algorithm.

Maximum likelihood trees were inferred for the concatenated alignments using RAxML v8.1.3 (Stamatakis 2014). The three partitioning schemes (described above) were applied to each analysis. The best scoring (lowest $-\operatorname{lnL}$ ) tree from each dataset was identified from 100 random starting trees and bootstrapped 100 times using the GTR+Г in both cases. The autoMRE function in RAxML v8.1.3 was used to determine the need for additional bootstrap replicates beyond the initial 100 (Pattengale, et al. 2009). A stopping criterion was set a priori if the weighted Robinson-Foulds distance was less than $5 \%$ in $95 \%$ of random permutations of computed bootstrap replicates (Pattengale, et al. 2009). If necessary, an additional 100 bootstrap replicates were computed until the convergence stopping criteria were met. Finally, bipartition frequencies of bootstrap replicates were drawn onto the best scoring tree from the initial RAxML searches for each of the respective data sets.

Bayesian analyses were conducted using ExaBayes v1.4.1 (Aberer, et al. 2014). For all Bayesian analyses four independent runs of four chains each were run in parallel for a minimum of one hundred thousand generations sampling every thousandth generation and applying a GTR $+\Gamma$ substitution model for each partition. Two of the partitioning schemes (described above) were used for each analysis: No Partitions and Optimal Partitions. After one hundred thousand generations, analyses continued until the standard deviation of the split frequency between chains was less than 0.01 . An extended majority rule consensus tree was created from all trees after the first $25 \%$ of trees were discarded using TreeAnnotator v1.7.0 (Rambaut and Drummond 2013) and parameter estimates across all runs were calculated with Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut, et al. 2014).

Sampling loci by number of informative characters - Previous coalescent analyses of UCE data have shown that sub-sampling the most informative loci can result in different topologies (Meiklejohn, et al. 2016). Under these assumptions, UCE loci were sorted into ten groups based on their length and the predicted correlation between length and number of informative characters was confirmed (Supplemental Figure 2). UCE loci in the same size cohort were combined into a single alignment. Rather than using coalescent based analyses we used concatenation of UCE loci to identify different topologies based on length. UCE loci were individually partitioned and the maximum likelihood tree was estimated with the rapid bootstrapping option in RaxML (bootstrap replicates $=100$ ) using the GTR $+\Gamma$ substitution model.

Random sampling of loci - In large phylogenetic analyses, systematic error can result in highly supported, but incorrect topologies as a result of compounding non-phylogenetic signal (RodríguezEzpeleta, et al. 2007). By randomly reducing the dataset and replicating the ML analyses, we can reduce the potential effects of compounding error. Roughly $10 \%$ of the dataset, 365 loci, were randomly sampled
and concatenated to create 100 new alignments. ML methods were similar to those used when sampling loci by the number of informative characters.

Summary methods - Gene trees for individual UCE loci recovered in five or more taxa were inferred using the GTR $+\Gamma$ substitution model and fast bootstrapping ( -f a) option in RAxML (replicates = 1,000 ). In general, gene trees were classified based on the degree of completeness (i.e. number of taxa represented) similar to the way we treated individuals as described above.

Species trees were estimated and bootstrapped using three different programs. ASTRAL-II v4.10 (Mirarab and Warnow 2015) was used to build a summary tree. Support values for bipartitions in the tree were generated from 100 bootstrap replicates using site as well as site and locus resampling (Seo 2008). Species trees were estimated from ASTRID v1.4 (Vachaspati and Warnow 2015) using bionj and bootstrapped for 100 replicates. SVDquartets (Chifman and Kubatko 2014), as implemented in PAUP v4.0a150 (Swofford 2003), was used to estimate a species trees from a random subset of 200,000 quartets and 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

Errors in gene tree estimation may reduce the accuracy of summary methods (Liu, et al. 2009; Leaché and Rannala 2011; DeGiorgio and Degnan 2014; Mirarab, et al. 2016). We used weighted (Bayzid, et al. 2015) and unweighted (Mirarab, et al. 2014) statistical binning to combine gene trees into compatible supergenes using the pipeline available in Bayzid et al. (Bayzid, et al. 2015). The gene trees used for the summary tree methods described above were used rather than re-estimating trees. Bifurcations supported by more than $50 \%$ of the bootstrap replicates were retained for each gene tree. Alignments from compatible trees were concatenated into a single supergene alignment. Trees for supergenes were estimated using RAxML. The best trees for each supergene, as defined by log likelihood score, were retained from 500 searches. Bipartition support was estimated from 500 bootstrap replicates. For all analyses, the GTR $+\Gamma$ model of substitution was used and each gene in the supergene alignment was partitioned separately. The resulting supertrees were then used for species tree estimation using ASTRALII. For the unweighted analysis, all supertrees were included in the pool of trees. For the weighted analysis, supertrees were weighted according to the number of genes combined in the supergene alignment. For example, if a supergene was a composite of six genes, the supertree was present 6 times compared to a composite of five genes which would be represented only five times. Support for the weighted and unweighted species trees was estimated by site and site and locus re-sampling (Seo 2008) for 100 bootstrap replicates in ASTRAL-II.

Meta-analyses - Trees recovered from all analyses were compared to each other in tree space. Unweighted Robinson-Foulds distances were calculated among all trees. This distance matrix was
transformed into two dimensions using the stochastic CCA algorithm for nonlinear dimension reduction in TreeScaper v1.09 (Huang, et al. 2016). Coordinates were then visualized in R using hexagonal binning in the hexbin library v1.27.1 (Lewin-Koh 2011).

We compared the mitochondrial data to all nuclear trees. Branch lengths have different meanings based on the type of analysis. For example, ASTRAL-II branch lengths are representative of coalescent units. ASTRID doesn't even calculate branch lengths. For accurate tree comparisons, branch lengths were stripped from all trees using regular expressions and Sed. Site log-likelihood scores were calculated for each nuclear tree, without branch lengths, and using the mitochondrial alignment. Model parameters were re-estimated for each tree. Site-log likelihood scores were compared with the approximately unbiased (Shimodaira 2002) and Shimodaira-Hasegawa (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 1999) test in CONSEL and values less than 0.05 were indicative of differences. Finally, we used RAxML to generate a $85 \%$ and majority rule consensus trees from all nuclear trees.
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## Figure and Table Captions

Figure 1. Comparison of nuclear and mitochondrial phylogenetic trees in Myotis. Bayesian trees generated from (A) 2,890 nuclear UCE loci and (B) 37 mitochondrial protein, tRNA, and rRNA genes (B) Posterior probability values greater than 0.95 are shown as a "*". Values below branches are percentages of maximum likelihood bootstrap replicates supporting that clade. Conflicting tips between data types (nuclear vs. mitochondrial) are indicated with lines between the topologies. The mitochondrial Bayesian and maximum likelihood trees resolved different relationships among $M$. thysanodes, evotis, and keeni as indicated by the dotted lines. Species with more than one sample are designated with a superscript that is referenced in Table 1. Specimens derived from whole genome alignments are designated with a superscript " $G$ ".

Figure 2. Differences between mitochondrial and nuclear topologies. (A) All trees recovered from mitochondrial genes and the extended analysis of the nuclear data were visualized in tree space using multidimensional scaling. Nuclear trees (green) were distinct from mitochondrial trees forming a large cluster. Most nuclear trees were found within a limited region of tree space. Mitochondrial trees (blue) were distinct from any of the 294 nuclear trees recovered. Tree vs tree comparisons show that most (B) nuclear trees are more similar to each other than they are to $(C)$ mitochondrial trees. Symmetric differences are equal to twice the Robinson-Foulds distance between two trees.

Figure 3. Consensus tree. A consensus tree of New World Myotis was generated from 294 nuclear topologies with a threshold cutoff of $85 \%$. Values shown above the branches represent the percentage of nuclear analyses that support a given bipartion. Previous subgeneric classifications based on morphology are listed at each tip (Myotis "M", Selysius "S", Leuconoe "L". Biogeographic regions are color coded. Species with more than one sample are designated with a superscript that is referenced in Table 1. Specimens derived from whole genome alignments are designated with a superscript " $G$ ". This consensus tree represents a very conservative estimate of the Myotis radiation.

Table 1. Specimens examined.

Collection abbreviations: Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB), Museum of Vertebrate Zoology (MVZ), Natural History Museum of Geneva (MHNG), Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Ecuador Museo de Zoologia (QCAZ), Texas Tech University Natural Science Research Laboratory (TK), University of Alaska Museum of the North (UAM), University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (UMMZ)

Table 2. General alignment information. For a subset of analyses a series of alignments were generated based on the number of taxa per locus. Thirty-seven taxa were examined so an alignment with all 37 taxa was considered $100 \%$ complete. Parsimony-informative characters make up a small portion of the total alignment. The optimum partitioning scheme was calculated with PartitionFinder.

Sup Fig 1. Analytical flow chart. In the initial analysis (A) mitochondrial and nuclear data were analyzed using Bayesian and maximum likelihood methods. Trees were compared using the approximately unbiased and the Shimodaira-Hasegawa tests and determined to be conflicting. Extended analysis (B) of the data used multiple methods and sampling strategies to generate 292 different phylogenetic inferences. (C) All nuclear and mitochondrial trees were compared in tree space and with topological tests. An $85 \%$ meta-consensus tree from all analyses was used to represent a conservative estimate of the Myotis radiation. Trees from the extended analysis were compared to the mitochondrial trees using the approximately unbiased and the Shimodaira-Hasegawa tests and determined to be conflicting.

Sup Fig 2. UCE loci sorted by length. The length of a UCE locus is correlated with the number of phylogenetically informative characters. UCE loci were sorted by length and ten bins of alignments were created so that the shortest loci were combined into one alignment, the next shortest set of loci were combined ... etc. Parsimony informative characters made up a minor part of each alignment.

Sup Table 1. Tree topology tests. Trees were compared to each other using the approximately unbiased and the Shimodaira-Hasegawa tests. Likelihood scores were calculated for the mitochondrial alignment when constrained to all topologies (mitochondrial and nuclear) recovered herein. Alignment and tree topology incompatibility were identified as p -values $<0.01$.

Sup File -AllTrees.nex - Trees generated from all analyses in nexus format.

Sup File -uceLociAlignments.tgz - Fasta alignments of all UCE loci recovered in at least four taxa.
Sup File - UceGeneTrees.tgz - UCE gene trees for all loci recovered in at least four taxa. Trees were inferred from 100 ML searches using RAxML.
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Table 1-Specimens Examined

| Genus | Specific epithet | Name herein | Museum identification num. | Num. UCE Loci |  | Mitochondrial genome accession |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eptesicus | fuscus | fuscus | TK 178736 | 2,849 | Pending | Pending |
| Eptesicus | fuscus | fuscus ${ }^{6}$ | GCA_000308155.1 | 2,467 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | albescens | albescens ${ }^{1}$ | RDS 7889 | 2,764 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | albescens | albescens ${ }^{2}$ | QCAZ 9157 | 1,185 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | albescens | albescens ${ }^{3}$ | TK 61766 | 2,872 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | albescens | albescens ${ }^{4}$ | TK 101723 | 2,990 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | atacamensis | atacamensis | M4430 | 2,774 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | auriculus | auriculus | MSB 40883 | 2,229 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | brandtii | brandtii ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | GCA_000412655.1 | 2,446 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | septentrionalis | septentrionalis | RDS 7705 | 2,916 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | californicus | californicus | UMMZ 175828 | 2,948 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | davidii | davidii ${ }^{G}$ | GCA_000327345.1 | 2,450 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | dominicensis | dominicensis | TK 15624 | 2,576 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | evotis | evotis | MSB 47323 | 2,586 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | fortidens | fortidens | MSB 54941 | 2,791 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | horsfieldii | horsefeldi | MHNG 1926.039 | 3,017 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | keaysi | keaysi | TK 13525 | 3,195 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | keenii | keenii | UAM 113849 | 2,723 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | leibii | leibii | TK 24872 | 3,119 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | levis | levis | RDS 7781 | 2,538 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | lucifugus | lucifugus | MSB 46679 | 2,736 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | lucifugus | lucifugus ${ }^{\text {G }}$ | GCA_000147115.1 | 2,429 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | martiniquensis | martiniquensis | TK 151413 | 856 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | melanorhinus | melanorhinus | M8944 | 3,177 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | nigricans | nigricans ${ }^{1}$ | QCAZ 9601 | 2,854 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | nigricans | nigricans ${ }^{2}$ | RDS 7791 | 3,159 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | nigricans or diminutus | nigricans ${ }^{3}$ | QCAZ 9168 | 3,078 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | occultus | occultus | MSB 121995 | 2,957 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | oxyotus | oxyotus | UMMZ RCO1013 | 3,106 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | riparius | riparius | TK 145199 | 2,890 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | ruber | ruber | MVZ 185692 | 2,757 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | simus | simus | TK 22688 | 2,924 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | thysanodes | thysanodes | 07LEP | 2,821 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | velifer | velifer | MSB 70877 | 2,704 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | vivesi | vivesi | MSB 42658 | 2,469 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | volans | volans | MSB 40886 | 2,819 | Pending | Pending |
| Myotis | yumanensis | yumanensis | RDS 7734 | 2,589 | Pending | Pending |

Collection abbreviations: Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB), Museum of Vertebrate Zoology (MVZ), Natural History Museum of Geneva (MHNG),
Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Ecuador Museo de Zoologia (QCAZ), Texas Tech University Natural Science Research Laboratory (TK), University of
Alaska Museum of the North (UAM), University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (UMMZ). Samples beginning with "GCA_" represent genome assemblies
available through NCBI. []

Table 2. Character information

| Percent of <br> taxa per <br> locus | Number of Loci | Parsimony- <br> informative <br> characters | Variable <br> uninformative | Alignment <br> length | Optimum <br> Partitions |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Min. num. of taxa | 212 | 3,480 | 4,778 | 112,125 | 6 |  |
| 37 | 100 | 1,193 | 18,288 | 24,189 | 575,321 | 15 |
| 35 | 95 | 2,034 | 29,179 | 41,711 | 903,903 | 16 |
| 31 | 85 | 2,481 | 33,031 | 48,732 | $1,041,099$ | 20 |
| 27 | 75 | 2,668 | 34,373 | 51,148 | $1,091,620$ | 27 |
| 24 | 65 | 2,890 | 35,284 | 53,200 | $1,144,471$ | 27 |
| 20 | 55 | 3,064 | 36,539 | 54,782 | $1,187,492$ | 31 |
| 16 | 45 | 3,232 | 37,259 | 56,453 | $1,227,093$ | 34 |
| 12 | 35 | 3,379 | 3,694 | 57,672 | $1,260,248$ | 37 |
| 9 | 25 | 38,718 | 62,588 | $1,377,262$ | 31 |  |
| 5 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

General alignment information. For a subset of analyses a series of alignments were generated based on the number of taxa per locus. Thirty-seven taxa were examined so an alignment with all 37 taxa was considered $100 \%$ complete. Parsimony-informative characters make up a small portion of the total alignment. The optimum partitioning scheme was calculated with PartitionFinder.

