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#### Abstract

The spotted bat (Euderma maculatum) is a rarely-encountered species for which behavior and population attributes are largely unknown. Using next-generation sequencing, we identified and characterized 17 microsatellite loci, which were screened for 31 individuals from northern Arizona. Allelic diversity, observed heterozygosity, and power of discrimination were high $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}: 5-8\right.$ alleles per locus; $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}: 0.55-0.90 ; \mathrm{P}_{I D}: 1.2 \times 10^{-15}$ ). All loci were in HWE, there was no evidence of null alleles or linkage disequilibrium, and five loci amplified and were variable in another Vespertillionid (Eptesicus fuscus). We will use these loci to evaluate gene flow and genetic diversity across the range of the spotted bat and determine population size in northern Arizona. The latter information is important to resource managers, who attempt to set mortality thresholds for bats at wind energy facilities in this region.
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The spotted bat (Euderma maculatum) is a charismatic species patchily distributed across western North America. Because spotted bats are cryptic (nocturnal, volant, solitary), much of their natural history and population biology

[^0]is unknown; $E$. maculatum has been designated a species of concern in Canada and the United States, in part because of this lack of information. Using high-throughput sequencing, we generated a suite of microsatellite markers to elucidate aspects of $E$. maculatum biology.

Genomic DNA was extracted from an E. maculatum wing punch with a DNEasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, DEU). DNA was fragmented with a SonicMan sonicator (Brooks Life Science Systems, Spokane, WA). Whole genome sequencing libraries were prepared and quantified with qPCR using KAPA reagents (KAPA Biosystems, Woburn, MA). Fragments 500 bp long were selected with Agencourt AMPure magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). Sequencing was performed on an illumina MiSeq with v2 reagents, yielding 13 million paired 250 bp reads. We used ABySS 1.3.2 (Simpson et al. 2009) for de novo genome assembly. Microsatellites 4-6 bp in length with at least six repeats were discovered in this assembly and primers for the loci were designed with msatcommander-1.0.8-beta (Faircloth 2008).

We tested 56 primer pairs using the universal tail PCR labeling system of U'Ren et al. (2007). DNA from heart and kidney tissue samples of five individuals on loan from museums (Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico: MSB 121373, 135536, and 22756; New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science: catalog numbers 1901 and 4059) was used to assess optimal annealing temperature (Ta) and locus polymorphism. PCR amplifications were performed with MJ Research PTC-200 thermocyclers in $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reactions containing $1 \times$ PCR Rxn Buffer (Invitrogen), $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2_{2}$ (Invitrogen), 0.2 mM dNTPs, $0.08 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), $0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ Ultrapure non-acetylated Bovine Serum Albumin (Ambion), $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forward primer, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ forward universal primer, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ reverse primer, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$,
Table 1 Characterization of 17 polymorphic microsatellite loci for spotted bats

| Locus | Genbank accession \# | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | Repeat motif | Fluorescent tag | $\mathrm{Ta}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Size range (bp) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {A }}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $P(\mathrm{HWE})^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EUMA2 | KF922719 | F: TGGGAGACAAAGGTGGAAGG | GGAT | 6FAM | 54 | 157-165 | 6 | 0.742 | 0.726 | 0.773 |
|  |  | R: GTTCACCCATTTGTCCGTCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA5 | KF922720 | F: CCCTGACTAATGCAATGCCC | CCTT | VIC | 61 | 231-251 | 6 | 0.871 | 0.729 | 0.460 |
|  |  | R: AAACCCAGGACCCTTGAGTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA6 | KF922721 | F: CGAACTCAAGGCCAAACTCC | AGAT | 6FAM | 54 | 138-162 | 8 | 0.710 | 0.725 | 0.476 |
|  |  | R: ATTTGGCCTTCCCTTTGCAG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA8 | KF922722 | F: CATGCATGGGTGGAAGGAAG | GGAT | PET | 54 | 127-147 | 5 | 0.420 | 0.411 | $0.016$ |
|  |  | R: AGCCTGGCCTTCTATGGATG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA12 | KF922723 | F: AAGTGGTCAGAACTGGAGGG | AAGG | PET | 54 | 159-191 | 8 | 0.710 | 0.631 | 0.193 |
|  |  | R: ACTGAGGCTTCTTCCGTGTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA18 | KF922724 | F: ACAAGTGTGAGTGCTGGGAC | AATG | 6FAM | 61 | 174-211 | 6 | 0.839 | 0.698 | 0.322 |
|  |  | R: GGAGGTGAAGGGACAGATGG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA19 | KF922725 | F: TTGCAGAGCCTTGATGACAG | ATCT | NED | 54 | 224-240 | 5 | 0.613 | $0.721$ | $0.045$ |
|  |  | R: GGTTGAACAGTTGGACGGTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA29 | KF922726 | F: GATTTCAGACTTGCCAGCCC | AGAT | VIC | 54 | 216-236 | 6 | 0.742 | 0.777 | $0.707$ |
|  |  | R:CACACACACACCCTCTTATTGG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA32 | KF922727 | F: TGGGTTATGGTTTGCTGCTTC | ATTT | PET | 61 | 200-228 | 8 | 0.581 | 0.601 | 0.835 |
|  |  | R: GCTGGATCCCACAATAGAGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA36 | KF922728 | F: ATGCTTCAGTGCCAGGTAGC | ATTT | PET | 61 | 195-215 | 6 | 0.548 | 0.684 | $0.250$ |
|  |  | R: GGGAGTATAGGAGGCAGCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA37 | KF922729 | F: TCATTCTGCTCCCTTCCCTG | AAAC | VIC | 61 | 205-229 | 5 | 0.871 | 0.679 | 0.106 |
|  |  | R: ACAGATGAGGCTAAATGACCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA38 | KF922730 | F: GAAAGGCAGCACGTACAGG | CTTT | 6FAM | 61 | 229-257 | 7 | $0.903$ | $0.796$ | $0.680$ |
|  |  | R: GAGGTCTATGGTGTGCAACTG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA39 | KF922731 | F: GGCCTCTCCTTCATATTCAGG | AAGG | NED | 61 | 202-238 | 8 | 0.800 | 0.754 | 0.887 |
|  |  | R: GTTGCTCCCTTGTTTCCTGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA40 | KF922732 | F: GCGGACTTCCCTTTATAGCTC | AGAT | PET | $54$ | 221-237 | 5 | $0.645$ | 0.694 | 0.369 |
|  |  | R: TGTTCTCCCATGTCTTCCTCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA43 | KF922733 | F: TCTTCCCTGCTCTTGGATGC | ATCT | NED | 61 | 224-240 | 5 | 0.857 | 0.692 | 0.133 |
|  |  | R:ACACAGATGGCAAACAATCAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA47 | KF922734 | F: TGAGAGTTGGATTCCTGGCC | ATCT | NED | 61 | 197-213 | 5 | $0.742$ | 0.735 | 0.596 |
|  |  | R:TCAGCTTAATCTTCACCTGAGG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUMA55 | KF922735 | F:CCAGAGAAACAGAACCAACAAG | ATCT | NED | 54 | 172-188 | 5 | $0.774$ | $0.760$ | $0.047$ |
|  |  | R: TCCCAGTATAACAGCTGACCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1] ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No loci were out of HWE after correction for multiple tests $(P<0.003)$
and $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA template (at $2 \mathrm{~nm} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ ). Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 2 min denaturation at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 35 cycles of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , optimized $\mathrm{Ta}\left(47-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 30 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~min} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ extension for 2 min . Fragment analysis was performed on an Applied Biosystems 3130 Genetic Analyzer and results were visualized with GeneMapper 4.0 software.

Seventeen (36 \%) loci amplified at either 54 or $61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ annealing temperature, were polymorphic, and easily scored (Table 1). To assess genetic diversity and locus behavior, we genotyped DNA from 31 spotted bats captured in northern Arizona at all loci. We also genotyped 20 big brown bats (Eptesicus fuscus) from Flagstaff, Arizona, in order to test cross-species utility. GENEPOP V4.2 (http://genepop.curtin.edu.au/) was used to examine expected $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ and observed $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ heterozygosity, departures at each locus from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and linkage disequilibrium between each locus pair. MicroChecker (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was employed to evaluate presence of null alleles ( 1,000 randomizations). We calculated $\mathrm{P}_{I D}$ for an indication of the power of discrimination of the final panel of loci (Waits et al. 2001).

All loci adhered to Hardy-Weinberg expectations after correction for multiple tests, and exhibited no evidence of linkage disequilibrium or null alleles. Only $1.2 \times 10^{-9}$ in a million pairs of spotted bats selected at random from the population would be expected to share a multilocus genotype, and 0.72 in a million pairs of full sibs would be expected to do so. Five loci (EUMA18, 29, 39, 43, and 55) were polymorphic and easily-scored in big brown bats.

The new loci will enable examination of genetic population structure, genetic diversity, and population size in a
rarely-encountered species (e.g., 79 individuals in museum collections). The loci will be employed to address broadscale questions (e.g., spotted bat movements between regions) and fine-scale questions (e.g., population size estimation and relatedness within a rarely-observed aggregation).
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